

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/789,681	02/28/2004	Ut-Va Koc	3-1	7543	
7590 07/22/2005			EXAMINER		
Lucent Technologies Inc.			WILLIAMS, HOWARD L		
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219) 101 Crawfords Conner Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			2819	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/200	DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H·A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/789,681	KOC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Howard L. Williams	2819			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ne 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-10 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 060205. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/789,681

Your Reference: 3-1 Art Unit: 2819

The examiner acknowledges receipt of the information disclosure statement on 02 June 2005. An intialed copy of the citation form should accompany this letter.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,518,905 B2 to Siferd. Siferd discloses an interleaved bandpass delta-sigma ADC system for conversion of IF signals. The interleaving permits Siferd to obtain a higher effective sampling rate by placing slower bandpass delta-sigma in parallel with each other. Siferd discloses a sequence of sampling

It will be appreciated that the center frequency of the bandpass filter will depend upon the noise shaping function of the individual $\Delta\Sigma$ modulators 102. The noise shaping function for a single channel bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator has a value of zero at:

$$f_n = \frac{(2n-1)f_n}{4}$$

where n is an integer equal to or greater than zero. Limiting the range of center frequencies based upon the Nyquist theorem, the center frequency f, of the bandpass filter is preferably chosen as

$$f_c < \frac{Mf_s}{2} \text{ or } f_c = \frac{f_s}{4}, \frac{3f_s}{4}, \frac{5f_s}{4}, \dots, \left(\frac{Mf_s}{2} - \frac{f_s}{4}\right)$$

in order to diminish the quantization noise by the noise shaping function of the individual bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ modulators 55 operating at a frequency of f_s .

Accordingly, the center frequency of the parallel time interleaved $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator ADC 100 according to FIGS. 1-3 can be extended to frequencies much higher than a single bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator operating at a frequency of f_n , which so is limited to $f_n/4$, will e obtaining the required S/N ratio for high resolution. The parallel time interleaved $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator ADC 100 uses M single channel $\Delta\Sigma$ modulators 102 in parallel to dramatically increase the range of bandpass center frequencies that can be used while retaining and 65 improving the advantages of the $\Delta\Sigma$ noise shaping of the individual modulators.

frequencies to center frequency ratios driven by the number of modulators. Siferd discloses in column 7 about line 50 that the center frequency can be related to the sampling frequency $f_C = 3f_S/4$. See clipped image of column 7 on the left. The delta-sigma modulator is depicted in figure 3 and comprises filter Hz, quantizer 162, DAC 168 for feedback.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,619,536 A to Gourgue. Gourgue discloses a bandpass delta-sigma ADC IF radio signals (15; fig. 2). The Gourgue device includes a bandpass

Application/Control Number: 10/789,681

Your Reference: 3-1 Art Unit: 2819

filter (3; fig. 2), quantizer (5; fig. 2) and DAC (6; fig. 2). Gourgue also discloses the sampling frequency as $f_{\text{sampling}} = \frac{4}{2m+1} f_{\text{IF}}$ where m is a positive integer or zero. Thus for a value of m equal to one gets $f_{\text{sampling}} = 4/3 f_{\text{IF}}$, which corresponds to the sampling frequency claimed in claim two.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6, 8-10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,518,905 B2 to Siferd in view of Shoaei et al. article <u>Design and Implementation of a Tunable 40 MHz-- 70 MHz Gm-C Bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ Modulator. Maurino et al. teach the benefits of higher-order modulators and provides a basic sampling frequency to center frequency ratio of $nf_S \pm f_O$ using a fourth order modulator. Maurino also discloses the beneficial use of return-to-zero DACs as providing high linearity partly due to the reduced energy carried by the DAC pulse.</u>

Claims 3, 6, 8-10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5619536 A to Gourgue in view of the Maurino et al. IEEE article \underline{A} 200-MHz IF 11-bit Fourth-Order Bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ ADC in SiGe.

Gourgue discloses a bandpass delta-sigma ADC as set forth above but does not disclose the forward path filter as a fourth order filter or the DAC as having a return-to-zero implementation. Maurino discloses both of these items: The transfer function equation 5 of one of the two bandpass filters in the left hand column on page 960 shows a second order response and the cascading of the two clearly produces a fourth order filter. The use of a return-to-zero DAC is discussed in the right-hand column of page 960, where among other things Maurino discloses that the use of RZ pulses avoids intersymbol interference. The combination of Gourgue and Maurino would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/789,681

Your Reference: 3-1 Art Unit: 2819

obvious because the fourth order filter improves the SNR by pushing the noise to a higher frequencies and the return-to-zero DAC avoids intersymbol interference.

Applicant's response filed 02 June 2005 has been fully considered but is not persuasive.

The claims in terms of actual circuit elements recite the bandpass filter, the quantizer and DAC. These are the concrete elements of the claims. It is shown above where these elements are found in Siferd, figure 3 including filter *Hz*, quantizer *162*, DAC *168* for feedback. The remaining clause is the one about the mirror image. This clause recites that the series of digital signals has a data carrying frequency spectrum that is a mirror image of the data-carrying frequency spectrum of the input. There is no structure element here and this effect appears to be inherently produced by the chosen sampling frequency. Sampling produces replicas. Siferd discloses a series of sampling frequencies in the series of carrier frequencies and proportion to sampling frequency. Sifert discloses the claimed filter and quantizer and discloses a sampling frequency of fs = 4/3 fc, easily derived with simple arithmetic operation from the Sifert expression of fc = 3/4 fs.

While the response correctly notes that the applied prior art must disclose all the claimed features to support a rejection, it is equally if not more important that the claimed features in question are more than a mere recitation of inherent operational features produced by a circuit that is found in the prior art. It appears that in the present application unfortunately the latter of these items applies.

Claims 8-10 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The art of record is not seen to disclose the DAC having duty-cycles of less than one-half. Maurino appears to stop at one-half, page 960.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bazarjani (US 6538588 B1) discloses a delta-sigma ADC with undersampling applications, wherein the input signal is centered at an IF which is higher than the sampling frequency and the aliasing property of sampling is used to downconvert the input signal from IF to a lower frequency, the input signal should be placed close to IF=(2n+1).multidot.fs/4, where n is an integer greater than or equal to zero.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Howard L. Williams at telephone number 571.272.1815. The Patent and Trademark Office has a new central facsimile number for application specific correspondence intended for entry, it is 571-273-8300.

7/15/05

Voice: (571) 272-1815

Howard L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819